

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,564	08/05/2003	Kyung-Geun Lee	1293.1827 7147	
	7590 01/10/2007 VEN & BUI, LLP	EXAMINER .		
1400 EYE STR SUITE 300	EET, NW	PATEL, GAUTAM		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT PAPER NU	
		•	2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/633,564	LEE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Gautam R. Patel	2627		

	Gautam R. Patel		2627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sl	neet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN	CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amend eal (with appeal fee)	dment, affidavi in compliance	t, or other evidence, whith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07)</li> </ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the ater than SIX MONTHS (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (f).	from the mailing (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FILE	n. .ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the selection in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresp shortened statutory peri than three months afte	onding amount of for reply original	of the fee. The appropria	ate extension fee e action: or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or se w);	arch (see NO	ΓE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or				ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		er of finally reje	ected claims.	•
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		ice of Non-Co	moliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			mphant / monamont (i	102 024).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		n a separate,	timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	⊠ will not be entere vided below or apper	d, or b) 🗌 wil ded.	I be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	•			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10,15-18,21,25 and 26</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	, , ,			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons v	hy the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejectior	s under appea presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the	claims after ei	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the	e application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper I	Vo(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			Gautam R. Patel Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2627	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The concept of a third track pitch will require further consideration and serach including if this constitutes a new matter .

Continuation of 13. Other: Arguments regarding removal of finality are not found to be persuasive because irrespective of introduction of new matter. Clse examination of rejection clearly shows that the new limitations [including new matter] has been addressed see pages 3-4 of action. Also irresepctive of new matter rejetion ALL claims limitations are addressed by the office in general and by this Examiner in particular.

GAUTAM R. PATEL
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER